November 24, 2003

Lynne C. Eigler

10/720,650-Conf. #1756

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

2859

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Examiner Name

Art Unit

Application Number

First Named Inventor

Filing Date

G. K. Verbitsky Attorney Docket Number

BA1-03-1495 (03-1495)

Total Number of Pages in This	Submission	17	Attorney Do		BA1-03-1495 (03-1495)		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form	x	X Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
X Amendment/Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
X After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund			Interview Summary Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD					
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks					
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
		-		<u>-</u> .			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name ROBERT R.	ROBERT R. RICHARDSON, P.S.						
Signature Robert R. Willardson							
Printed name Robert R. Ric	Robert R. Richardson						
Date July 18, 2006	July 18, 2006			Reg. No.	40,143		

the date shown below with sufficient postage	ny paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is b e as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS	
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	Signature Pater R Pila la	(Pohort P. Dichardoon)

JUL 247

beby certify that the paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or the paper (along with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with the postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Rated: 7/18/06

Signature: (Robert R. Richa

Docket No.: BA1-03-1495 (03-1495)

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Lynne C. Eigler et al.

Application No.: 10/720,650

Filed: November 24, 2003 Art Unit: 2859

For: SIMPLE HIGH ACCURACY HIGH ENERGY

CALORIMETER

Examiner: G. K. Verbitsky

Confirmation Number: 1756

SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

MS AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is one set (eight sheets, six figures) of formal drawings submitted as replacement sheets for the above-identified patent application. Kindly substitute the enclosed drawings for the drawings submitted with the originally filed application.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 503048, under Order No. BA1-03-1495 (03-1495) from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: July 18, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Robert R. Richardson

Registration No.: 40,143

ROBERT R. RICHARDSON, P.S.

P.O. Box 2677

Silverdale, Washington 98383-2677

(360) 692-0626

Attorney for Applicant



hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown elow with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to:

AS AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: 7/18/06

Signature: // (Robert R. Richardson)

Docket No.: BA1-03-1495 (03-1495)

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Lynne C. Eigler et al.

Application No.: 10/720,650

. . .

Filed: November 24, 2003

Art Unit: 2859

For: SIMPLE HIGH ACCURACY HIGH ENERGY

Examiner: G. K. Verbitsky

Confirmation No.: 1756

CALORIMETER

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

MS AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A telephonic interview was conducted on June 22, 2006, between Examiner Gail Verbitsky and Applicants' attorney, Robert Richardson, regarding the above-identified patent application.

No exhibits were shown or demonstrated.

Claims discussed were Claims 1, 15, and 26. The drawings were also discussed.

No prior art was discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

The Substance of the Interview is as follows:

Applicants' attorney called Examiner Verbitsky on June 22, 2006, regarding a Final Office Action mailed May 19, 2006 because the Final Office Action stated that "first and second chambers" and "a second axis which is not collinear with the second axis" was not shown in the drawings and that a drawing of revised FIGURE 2A received (via fax) with an amendment on April

Application No.: 10/720,650 Docket No.: BA1-03-1495 (03-1495)

3, 2006, was not of sufficient quality to permit examination (but the drawings in Applicants' attorney's file showed these features). The Examiner indicated that due to low quality of the informal drawings, the Examiner could not see two chambers and two axes. Because the Examiner could not see in the drawings these features that were added as limitations in the Amendment filed April 3, 2006, the Examiner stated that the Final Office Action was issued.

Applicants' attorney stated that the Applicants' attorney's copies of the drawings showed these features. Applicants' attorney suggested, and the Examiner agreed, that Applicants' attorney should submit a higher quality copy of revised FIGURE 2A to the Examiner. Applicants' attorney immediately faxed a higher quality copy of FIGURE 2A to the Examiner.

After receipt of the higher quality copy of FIGURE 2A via fax, the Examiner called back Applicants' attorney. The Examiner stated that she could see in the higher quality faxed copy of FIGURE 2A the features required to overcome the objections and rejections (that is, two chambers and two axes).

However, the Examiner also stated that the quality of the drawings was not sufficient for an issued patent and that formal drawings would be required. Applicants' attorney suggested that a Notice of Allowance should be issued with a requirement to submit formal drawings.

Dated: July 18, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Robert R. Richardson

Registration No.: 40,143

ROBERT R. RICHARDSON, P.S.

P.O. Box 2677

Silverdale, Washington 98383-2677

(360) 692-0626

Attorney for Applicant